(3) CH/Ord.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3238 /2003

PETITIONER : Virendra Kumar Mishra, Son of late Shri Vidhyadhar Mishra, aged about 58 years, resident of Bouri-Para, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja Chhattisgarh.

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through : The Secretary, Home Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C G)

2. The Director General of Police, Police Headquarter, Raipur (C G)

3. The Inspector General of Police, Surguja Range, Distt : Surguja, (C G).

4. The Superintendent of Police, Ambikapur, Distt : Surguja (C G).

P. R. No. 3089/03
Presented by Shri D.K. Vishwakarma
dated 03.10.03

RECEIVED COPY.
7/10
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

35

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3238/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	05/11/2003 Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Praveen Das, PL for the State. Heard. The main grievance of the petitioner in this petition is that he is working as Subedar (A) / Ankik in the office of respondent no.4. A fit list for the promotion on the post of Head Clerk from Subedar was issued on 02/05/2002 and again on 29/05/2002. However, the names of the petitioner and one H.K. Prakash were not included in the list. Therefore, they made a representation before the respondents no.2, 3 and 4 and after considering the representation the name of H.K. Prakash was included in the fit list at serial no.7 whereas the name of the petitioner has not been included in the fit list. Respondent no.2 has included the names of other Subedars, who are juniors to the petitioner and they have	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

been promoted to the post of Head Clerk whereas the case of the petitioner has not been considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the persons who are juniors to the petitioner have been promoted on the post of Head Clerk whereas the case of the petitioner has not been considered, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation raising all his grievance to respondent no.2, who in turn, shall decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of two months after receipt/communication of this order considering all his grievance in an objective manner with a reasoned order.

[पीछे देखिये

[पीछे देखिये

(31)

(32)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -3-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	With the aforesaid observations and discussions, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.No.9499/2003 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

[पीछे देखिये

The present petition is being made